

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/484,437	01/18/2000	Tongbi Jiang	M4065.0226/P226	9698	
	590 10/07/2002				
DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN & OSHINSKY LLP 2101 L STREET NW			EXAMINER		
	N, DC 20037-1526	MITCHELL, JAMES M			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2827		

DATE MAILED: 10/07/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No	Application No.					
1		09/484,437		JIANG, TONGBI	1			
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit				
1		James Mitchell		2827				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication reply	on appears on the cove	er sheet with the co	orrespondence addres.	S			
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION of time may be available under the provisions of 37 COSIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pre to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by the period by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON.  CFR 1.136(a). In no event, how on.  The a reply within the statutory mineriod will apply and will expire statute, cause the application.	ever, may a reply be time nimum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from to to become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timely. the mailing date of this commun (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.			
1) 🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	20 August 2002						
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)	_	înal					
3)	Since this application is in condition for a	_		nsecution as to the me	arite ie			
,	closed in accordance with the practice u on of Claims	nder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>	, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.	711 <b>(3</b> 13			
4) 🖾	Claim(s) 1-20,31 and 32 is/are pending in	n the application.						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit	hdrawn from consider	ration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-20,31 and 32</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a on Papers	and/or election require	ement.					
9) 🗌 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.						
<u></u>	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		ted to by the Exan	niner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection							
11) 🔲 🏾	The proposed drawing correction filed on _			, ,				
	If approved, corrected drawings are required	in reply to this Office ac	tion.					
12) 🗌 🗆	The oath or declaration is objected to by th	e Examiner.						
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	oreign priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for dor			•	ication).			
	The translation of the foreign languag cknowledgment is made of a claim for do							
Attachment	` ,							
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No			(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)				
S. Patent and Tra TO-326 (Rev		ice Action Summary		Part of Paper	No. 15			

Application/Control Number: 09/484,437

Art Unit: 2827

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 2. Claims 11 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The disclosure fails to disclose how contacts are free of contaminants from an adhesive layer, further what is the cooperative relationship between the adhesive, contacts and solder mask.
- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 11 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. It is unclear as to what type of contaminants are contacts free from.
- 5. Claims 11 and 20 have not been rejected over the prior art because, in light of the 35 U.S.C. 112 rejections supra, there is a great deal of confusion and uncertainty as to the proper interpretation of the limitations of the claims; hence, it would not be proper to reject the claims on the basis of prior art. As stated in In re Steele, 305 F.2d 859, 134 USPQ 292 (CCPA 1962), a rejection should not be based on considerable speculation

Application/Control Number: 09/484,437

Art Unit: 2827

about the meaning of terms employed in a claim or assumptions that must be made as to the scope of the claims. See also MPEP 2173.06.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in-
- (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or
- (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).
- 7. Claims 1-9, 31 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Forray (U.S 2002/0062923).
- 8. Forray discloses a semiconductor device comprising: a solder mask to a semiconductor die (Paragraph 0029, Line 3; Par. 0048, Line 9) with a bismaleimide adhesive that remains voidless after outgassing (Abstract; Paragraph 0048), and is fully curable at a temperature below about 100 degree Celsius (Par. 0007, Lines 6-8), wherein the adhesive is inherently cured at a temperature between 20 to 50 degrees higher than glassy temperature (Tg) of said adhesive layer (admittedly by applicant, Page 6, bismaleimide Tg is 5-10 degree Celsius); and said adhesive contains an initiators (Par. 0028, Lines 9-10) which reacts at a temperature about 100 degree Celsius.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Application/Control Number: 09/484,437

Art Unit: 2827

9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claims 10 and 12-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over applicant's admitted prior art (APA) in combination with Forray.
- 11. APA (Fig.1) discloses a semiconductor device comprising: affixing a solder mask (18) to a semiconductor die (12) with an adhesive (14), with electrically connecting contacts on a die (20) with contacts on said solder mask (22) attaching a chip to said solder mask.
- 12. The admitted prior art does not appear to disclose an adhesive layer that is curable at a temperature below about 100 degree Celsius and at a temperature about 20-50 degrees than a glassy temperature of said adhesive layer.
- 13. However, Forray teaches the elements stated in paragraph 3.
- 14. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to form the device of the admitted prior art with the adhesive of Forray, in order to eliminate void formation in the adhesive during a cure process as taught by Forray (Abstract).

### Response to Arguments

15. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-20, 31 and 32 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### Conclusion

Art Unit: 2827

16. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James Mitchell whose telephone number is (703) 305-0244. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 10:30-8:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David L. Talbott can be reached on (703) 305-9883. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-3432 for regular communications and (703) 305-3230 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

jmm

October 1, 2002

ALBERT W. PALADINI

ALBERT W. PALADINI

EXAMINER